

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,042	07/08/2003		Valeriy Sukharev	03-0509	3892
24319	7590	02/08/2005		EXAM	INER
LSI LOGIC	CORPO	RATION	FENTY, JESSE A		
1621 BARB MS: D-106	ER LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS,	CA 950	35		2815	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,042	SUKHAREV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jesse A. Fenty	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on gamma 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	,	Currence (DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The rejection based on the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McTeer (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,179 B1).

In re claim 1, McTeer discloses a method of forming an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers and a copper deposit, said method comprising:

forming a trench in the substrate (column 17, lines 8-10); forming a via in the substrate to the copper deposit; depositing an interconnect liner layer (6) of aluminum-copper alloy comprised primarily of Aluminum in the trench and via; depositing copper onto the aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner layer (column 21, lines 20-26); and polishing the copper (column 20, lines 24-28), wherein the interconnect liner layer is a permanent component of the interconnect and does not interact with the copper or copper deposit to form an alloy at any time while the method is performed.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042

Art Unit: 2815

In re claim 2, McTeer discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of depositing a layer of aluminum-copper alloy comprises depositing aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy using a PVD technique (column 18, lines 15-27).

In re claim 3, McTeer discloses a method of forming an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers and a copper deposit, said method comprising:

Forming a trench in the substrate (column 17, lines 8-10); forming a via in the substrate to the copper deposit; depositing an intermediate liner layer (4) in the trench and via and on the copper deposit; depositing an interconnect liner layer (6) of aluminum-copper alloy comprised primarily of Aluminum on the intermediate layer (column 21, lines 20-26); depositing copper into the aluminum-copper alloy; and polishing the copper (column 20, lines 24-28), wherein the interconnect liner layer is a permanent component of the interconnect and does not interact with the copper or copper deposit to form an alloy at any time while the method is performed.

In re claim 4, McTeer discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing a layer of aluminum-copper alloy comprises depositing aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy using a PVD technique (column 18, lines 15-27).

In re claim 5, McTeer discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing an intermediate liner layer comprises depositing TaN (column 17, lines 62-65; column 18, lines 1-3).

In re claim 11, McTeer discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising depositing the interconnect layer such that said interconnect layer is in contact with the copper deposit (3).

In re claim 12, McTeer discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of depositing the interconnect liner layer comprises depositing a layer of aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042

Art Unit: 2815

In re claim 13, McTeer discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing the interconnect liner layer comprises depositing a layer of aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy.

In re claim 6, McTeer (Fig. 11) discloses an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers, said interconnect comprising a first copper deposit (15), a second copper deposit (3), and an aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner (6) comprised primarily of Aluminum (column 18, lines 16-18) disposed between and in (electrical) contact with the first and second copper deposits and between the second copper deposit (3) and at least one of the dielectric layers (14), wherein the interconnect liner is a permanent component of the interconnect and is not combined with either of the copper deposits to form an alloy.

In re claim 7, McTeer discloses the device of claim 6. The limitation, "wherein the ... has been deposited using a PVD technique," refers to the process for making this product. Applicant is reminded that a Aproduct by process≅ claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wertheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi* et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentablility of the final product per se which must be determined in a Aproduct by process≅ claim, and not the patentablity of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in Aproduct by process≅ claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the

above caselaw makes clear. Therefore, since McTeer disclose the aluminum-copper alloy structure in the same manner as the claimed structure, the prior art meets the claim.

In re claim 8, as best understood, McTeer (Fig. 11) discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

An interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers, said interconnect comprising a first copper deposit (15), a second copper deposit (3), an intermediate interconnect liner (4) and disposed between the first and second copper deposits and in contact with the first copper deposit (15); and an aluminum-copper alloy (6) interconnect liner disposed between the first and second copper deposits between the second copper deposit and at least one of the dielectric layers, and in contact with the second copper deposit (3), wherein the interconnect liner is a permanent component of the interconnect and is not combined with either of the copper deposits to form an alloy.

In re claim 9, McTeer discloses the device of claim 8. The limitation, "wherein the ... has been deposited using a PVD technique," refers to the process for making this product and is not given patentable weight regarding the structure of this claim. See above.

In re claim 10, McTeer discloses the device of claim 8, wherein the intermediate interconnect liner comprises TaN (column 17, lines 62-64).

In re claim 14, McTeer discloses the device of claim 8, wherein said aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner comprises a layer of aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042 Page 6

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McTeer (as above) in view of Hsu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,150,252).

In re claim 1, McTeer discloses a method of forming an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers and a copper deposit, said method comprising:

forming a trench in the substrate (McTeer, column 17, lines 8-10); forming a via in the substrate to the copper deposit; depositing an interconnect liner layer (6) of aluminum-copper alloy comprised primarily of Aluminum in the trench and via; depositing copper onto the aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner layer (McTeer, column 21, lines 20-26); and polishing the copper (column 20, lines 24-28).

If McTeer is not interpreted to provide a method wherein the interconnect liner layer is a permanent component of the interconnect and does not interact with copper or copper deposit to form an alloy at any time while the method is performed, said liner layer is disclosed by Hsu. Hsu (esp. Fig. 3B) discloses a method of depositing an interconnect liner layer (46') comprising primarily aluminum (McTeer, column 7, lines 58-59) as a permanent component. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to deposit a liner layer at low temperature as disclosed by Hsu for the device of McTeer for the purpose, for example, of permitting for higher conformity metal deposition within the cavity (Hsu; column 8, lines 19-23).

In re claim 2, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of depositing a layer of aluminum-copper alloy comprises depositing aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy using a PVD technique (McTeer, column 18, lines 15-27).

In re claim 3, McTeer discloses a method of forming an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers and a copper deposit, said method comprising:

Forming a trench in the substrate (McTeer, column 17, lines 8-10); forming a via in the substrate to the copper deposit; depositing an intermediate liner layer (4) in the trench and via and on the copper deposit; depositing an interconnect liner layer (6) of aluminum-copper alloy comprised primarily of Aluminum on the intermediate layer (McTeer, column 21, lines 20-26); depositing copper into the aluminum-copper alloy; and polishing the copper (McTeer, column 20, lines 24-28).

If McTeer is not interpreted to provide a method wherein the interconnect liner layer is a permanent component of the interconnect and does not interact with copper or copper deposit to form an alloy at any time while the method is performed, said liner layer is disclosed by Hsu. Hsu (esp. Fig. 3B) discloses a method of depositing an interconnect liner layer (46') comprising primarily aluminum (column 7, lines 58-59) as a permanent component. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to deposit a liner layer at low temperature as disclosed by Hsu for the device of McTeer for the purpose, for example, of permitting for higher conformity metal deposition within the cavity (Hsu; column 8, lines 19-23).

In re claim 4, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing a layer of aluminum-copper alloy comprises depositing aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy using a PVD technique (McTeer, column 18, lines 15-27).

In re claim 5, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing an intermediate liner layer comprises depositing TaN (McTeer, column 17, lines 62-65; column 18, lines 1-3).

In re claim 11, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising depositing the interconnect layer such that said interconnect layer is in contact with the copper deposit (3).

In re claim 12, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of depositing the interconnect liner layer comprises depositing a layer of aluminum -0.5% copper alloy.

In re claim 13, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the step of depositing the interconnect liner layer comprises depositing a layer of aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy.

In re claim 6, McTeer (Fig. 11) discloses an interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers, said interconnect comprising a first copper deposit (15), a second copper deposit (3), and an aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner (6) comprised primarily of Aluminum (column 18, lines 16-18) disposed between and in (electrical) contact with the first and second copper deposits and between the second copper deposit (3) and at least one of the dielectric layers (14).

If McTeer is not interpreted to provide the structure wherein the interconnect liner is a permanent component of the interconnect and is not combined with either of the copper deposits to form an alloy, said liner layer is disclosed by Hsu. Hsu (esp. Fig. 3B) discloses an interconnect liner layer (46') comprising primarily aluminum (column 7, lines 58-59) as a permanent component. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to deposit a liner layer as disclosed by Hsu for the device of McTeer for the purpose,

Art Unit: 2815

for example, of permitting for higher conformity metal deposition within the cavity (Hsu; column 8, lines 19-23).

In re claim 7, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the device of claim 6. The limitation, "wherein the ... has been deposited using a PVD technique," refers to the process for making this product. Applicant is reminded that a Aproduct by process≅ claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wertheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi* et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentablility of the final product per se which must be determined in a Aproduct by process≅ claim, and not the patentable as a product, whether claimed in Aproduct by process≅ claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. Therefore, since McTeer disclose the aluminum-copper alloy structure in the same manner as the claimed structure, the prior art meets the claim.

In re claim 8, McTeer (Fig. 11) discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

An interconnect in a substrate which includes one or more dielectric layers, said interconnect comprising a first copper deposit (15), a second copper deposit (3), an intermediate interconnect liner (4) and disposed between the first and second copper deposits and in contact with the first copper deposit (15); and an aluminum-copper alloy (6) interconnect liner disposed between the first and second copper deposits between the second copper deposit and at least one of the dielectric layers, and in contact with the second copper deposit (3).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042 Page 10

Art Unit: 2815

If McTeer is not interpreted to provide the structure wherein the interconnect liner is a permanent component of the interconnect and is not combined with either of the copper deposits to form an alloy, said liner layer is disclosed by Hsu. Hsu (esp. Fig. 3B) discloses an interconnect liner layer (46') comprising primarily aluminum (column 7, lines 58-59) as a permanent component. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to deposit a liner layer as disclosed by Hsu for the device of McTeer for the purpose, for example, of permitting for higher conformity metal deposition within the cavity (Hsu; column 8, lines 19-23).

In re claim 9, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the device of claim 8. The limitation, "wherein the ... has been deposited using a PVD technique," refers to the process for making this product and is not given patentable weight regarding the structure of this claim. See above.

In re claim 10, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the device of claim 8, wherein the intermediate interconnect liner comprises TaN (McTeer, column 17, lines 62-64).

In re claim 14, McTeer in view of Hsu discloses the device of claim 8, wherein said aluminum-copper alloy interconnect liner comprises a layer of aluminum – 0.5% copper alloy.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/03/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendment filed 12/03/03 is an attempt to distinguish the instant claims over the cited prior art of McTeer. However, the new claim language is not sufficient in that regard.
 - a. Regarding the 35 USC 102 rejection over McTeer ('179), the claim language does not clearly distinguish over the prior art. In McTeer ('179), the interconnect liner layer

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042

McTeer is still valid.

Art Unit: 2815

(6) in its final (permanent) form comprises an aluminum-copper alloy primarily of aluminum, as claimed. The amended claim attempts to distinguish the application's liner layer by calling said layer a "permanent component" and suggesting that said liner layer "does not interact with the copper or copper deposit to form an allow at any time while the method is performed." A careful analysis of these words shows that the art of

Page 11

- i. For starters, the liner layer itself is an aluminum-copper alloy, as claimed. The description "permanent component" does not work to define when the alloy layer becomes or does not become permanent. The alloy formed by McTeer after anneal and reflow can be interpreted as a "permanent component" because the layer is formed and does not move out of position at any time after being formed.
- ii. The second phrase, "... and does not interact with the copper or copper deposit to form an alloy at any time while the method is performed" is not entirely clear. The interconnect liner layer as claimed and the interconnect liner layer (6) of McTeer are both aluminum-copper alloys. The new claim language directs that the alloy liner layer should not further interact with copper to form an alloy. McTeer does not disclose the aluminum-copper alloy further interacting with the copper for form another alloy. True that the aluminum layer (5) of McTeer combines with a first copper deposit to form an alloy (6), but the aluminum layer by itself, is not the claimed aluminum-copper liner layer. Thus, the liner layer (6) of McTeer does not further interact with copper and the amended claim does not distinguish over the prior art of McTeer.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042 Page 12

Art Unit: 2815

b. Secondly, if applicant does not think the McTeer reference reads on the claims as written, a secondary reference, Hsu ('252), and rejection are provided with give a second interpretation of what is known in the art.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 571-272-1729. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,042 Page 13

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jesse A. Fenty Examiner Art Unit 2815

TOM THOMAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER